

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California
IN AND FOR THE
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

[REDACTED]
Petitioner,
v.
THE SUPERIOR COURT
OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY,
Respondent;
PACIFICORP et al.,
Real Parties in Interest.

C098929
Sacramento County
No. 34202300333171

ALTERNATIVE WRIT OF MANDATE

TO: Sacramento County Superior Court, Respondent, and PacificCorp, et al., Real Parties in Interest.

THE above named petitioner has filed a verified petition for writ of mandate and it appears to this court that petitioner has no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law, and that the relief requested should be granted.

THEREFORE, the Sacramento County Superior Court, Respondent, is directed to forthwith grant the relief requested in this petition and indicate to this court that it has done so or show cause in writing on or before September 6, 2023, why it has not done so and why the relief requested in this proceeding should not be granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.487.)

In the event respondent court is considering granting relief as described above, it must afford the parties notice and an opportunity to be heard (if requested by a party) before vacating its earlier decision. (See *Brown, Winfield & Canzoneri, Inc. v. Superior Court* (2010) 47 Cal.4th 1233, 1250-1251, fn. 10.)

WITNESS THE HONORABLE HARRY E. HULL, JR., Acting Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal of the State of California, in and for the Third Appellate District.

Attest my hand and the seal of the court this 7th day of August 2023.



COLETTE M. BRUGGMAN
Clerk

By: 
Deputy Clerk